- Killean, R. C. G. & Lawrence, J. L. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 1750–1752.
- Lilley, T. H. (1988). *Biochemical Thermodynamics*, edited by M. N. Jones, pp. 1-52. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Milburn, P. J. (1984). PhD thesis, University of Sheffield, England. Puliti, R., Barone, G., Giancola, C. & Mattia, C. A. (1996). J. Mol. Struct. In the press.
- Puliti, R. & Mattia, C. A. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 336-339.
- Ramachandran, G. N., Kolaskar, A. S., Ramakrishnan, C. & Sasisekharan, V. (1974). Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 359, 298–302.
- Stout, G. H. & Jensen, L. H. (1968). X-ray Structure Determination, pp. 409-412. New York: Macmillan.
- Vasquez, M., Némethy, G. & Scheraga, H. A. (1983). Macromolecules, 16, 1043–1049.
- Zimmerman, S. S., Pottle, M. S., Némethy, G. & Scheraga, H. A. (1977). Macromolecules, 10, 1–9.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 1823-1825

2'-Deoxycytidine-N3-cyanoborane

QI GAO,^a Anup Sood,^b Barbara Ramsay Shaw^b and Loren D. Williams^c

^aAnalytical Research and Development, Pharmaceutical Research Institute, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, 5 Research Parkway, Wallingford, CT 06492-7660, USA, ^bPaul Gross Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, NC 27706, USA, and ^cSchool of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

(Received 16 May 1995; accepted 6 October 1995)

Abstract

The structure of the $P2_12_12_1$ form of 2'-deoxycytidine-N3-cyanoborane, $C_{10}H_{15}BN_4O_4$, has been determined. The sugar is in the ²E puckering mode and the C5'—O5' bond has a *tg* conformation while the relative orientation of the sugar and the base remains *anti*.

Comment

The bond lengths and angles of the title molecule, (I), in the $P2_12_12_1$ form described here are similar to those in the $P2_1$ form (Singh, Zottola, Ramsay Shaw & Pedersen, 1996). In the $P2_12_12_1$ form, the B atom is tetrahedral with bond angles ranging from 107.5 (2) to 110.1 (2)°. The B—C=N moiety has a bent geometry as indicated by the angle 176.0 (3)°. Consistent with the $P2_1$ form, the exocyclic bond angle C4—N3—B, 124.3 (2)°, is larger than C2—N3—B, 115.5 (2)°. One of the BH₂ H atoms is in close van der Waals contact with one of the NH₂ H atoms (1.944 Å).



The cytosine ring is planar with the following deviations from the best least-squares plane, N1 -0.015(2), C2 0.021(2), N3 -0.009(2), C4 -0.008(2), C5 0.015(3), C6 -0.003(2) Å. The non-H substituents deviate significantly from the plane with C1' out-of-plane by -0.155(2), O2 by 0.029(2), B31 by -0.102(3) and N4 by -0.034(2) Å. The torsion angles for the cytosine ring, ranging from 0.4 (3) to 3.7 (3)°, are in good agreement with the typical value obtained by Taylor & Kennard (1982) for a pyrimidine ring.

Unlike the $P2_1$ form, the furanose ring in the $P2_12_12_1$ form is in an envelope conformation with C2' deviating 0.523 (3) Å from the plane containing the other four atoms and the ²E puckering mode is assumed. The angle of pseudorotation P is -13.2° and v_{max} is 18.8° (Saenger, 1984). In addition, the torsion angles C3'-C4'-C5'-O5' 66.4 (3)° and O4'-C4'-C5'-O5' -176.0 (2)°, demonstrate that the conformation around the exocyclic bond, C4'-C5', is tg.

However, the torsion angle O4'—C1'—N1— $C6 - 14.6(3)^\circ$, shows that the glycosyl conformation remains *anti*.

In the crystal, as shown in Fig. 2 and Table 2, molecules are linked through hydrogen bonds between bases, $C5-H\cdots O2$ and $N4-H\cdots O2$, to form infinite long chains along the *b* axis. These long chains are crosslinked by hydrogen bonds from sugar to sugar and from sugar to base, $O5'-H\cdots O3'$ and $O3'-H\cdots N31$, resulting in total in a three-dimensional hydrogen-bond network.



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids plotted at the 50% probability level.

$C_{10}H_{15}BN_4O_4$



Fig. 2. Stereoview of the unit cell of 2'-deoxycytidine-N3-cyanoborane.

05′	1.3160 (3)	0.95464 (9)	0.0911 (3)	4.14 (4)
NI	0.6653 (3)	0.89261 (9)	0.0731 (3)	2.56 (4)
N3	0.4524 (3)	0.82252 (9)	0.0006 (3)	2.53 (4)
N4	0.3822 (3)	0.8073 (1)	-0.3198(4)	3.84 (5)
N31	0.4967 (3)	0.6800(1)	0.2610(5)	4.22 (5)
C1′	0.7777 (3)	0.9175(1)	0.2227 (4)	2.79 (5)
C2′	0.9018 (4)	0.8706(1)	0.2914 (4)	3.11 (5)
C2	0.5471 (3)	0.8524(1)	0.1363 (4)	2.64 (5)
C3′	1.0462 (3)	0.9100(1)	0.3480 (4)	2.81 (5)
C4′	1.0388 (3)	0.9628(1)	0.2063 (4)	2.84 (5)
C4	0.4721 (3)	0.8354(1)	-0.1904(4)	2.70 (5)
C5′	1.1499 (4)	0.9536(1)	0.0346 (4)	3.69 (4)
C5	0.5893 (4)	0.8788(1)	-0.2476 (4)	3.03 (5)
C6	0.6838 (3)	0.9058(1)	-0.1167 (4)	2.93 (5)
C31	0.4306 (3)	0.7205(1)	0.1881 (4)	3.12 (5)
B31	0.3313 (4)	0.7727(1)	0.0812(1)	3.01 (6)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Experimental

Recrystallization from hot water gave colorless rods.

Crystal data		O2—C2 O3'—C3'	1.225 (3) 1.430 (3)	N3—B31 N4—C4	1.570 (4) 1.313 (4)
$C_{10}H_{15}BN_4O_4$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation	04'C1' 04'C4' 05'C5'	1.411 (3) 1.455 (3)	N31-C31 C1'-C2'	1.150 (4) 1.514 (4)
$M_r = 200.05$	$\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ A}$	03C5 N1C1'	1.410 (4)	$C_2' = C_3'$	1.509 (4)
$P_{2,2,2}$	reflections	N1-C2	1.374 (3)	C4'-C5'	1.513 (4)
$a = 8 144 (1) \text{\AA}$	A = 14.90 24.76°	N1C6	1.361 (4)	C4C5	1.400 (4)
a = 0.144(1) A	0 = 14.90 - 24.70 $u = 0.992 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	N3	1.383 (3)	C5-C6 C31 P31	1.331 (4)
D = 21.772(1) A	$\mu = 0.865 \text{ mm}$		1.309 (4)	C31—D31	1.362 (4)
C = 0.900 (1) A	I = 293 K	C1' - 04' - C4'	109.9 (2)	03' - C3' - C2'	111.6 (2)
$V = 1235.2(2) \text{ A}^2$	Rod	C1' = N1 = C2 C1' = N1 = C6	110.0(2) 122.3(2)	$C_{2}^{\prime} = C_{3}^{\prime} = C_{4}^{\prime}$	108.9 (2)
Z = 4	$0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$	C2-N1-C6	121.5(2)	04' - C4' - C3'	105.5(2) 106.0(2)
$D_x = 1.430 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Colorless	C2-N3-C4	120.1 (2)	O4'-C4'-C5'	109.0 (2)
		C2-N3-B31	115.5 (2)	C3'-C4'-C5'	113.0 (2)
Data collection		C4—N3—B31	124.3 (2)	N3—C4—N4	120.4 (2)
Rigaku AFC-5 diffractom-	1024 observed reflections	O4' - C1' - N1	108.3 (2)	N3-C4-C5	119.7 (2)
eter	$[F > 3\sigma(F)]$	04 - 01 - 02	106.2 (2)	N4 - C4 - C5	120.0(3)
(1 SC305	R = 0.017	C1' - C2' - C3'	102.0(2)	C3 -C3 -C4 C4-C5-C6	119.8 (3)
Absorption competion	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$	02-C2-N1	120.4 (2)	N1	120.6(2)
Absorption correction:	$\theta_{\rm max} = 60$	O2C2N3	121.4 (2)	N31-C31-B31	176.0 (3)
empirical, ψ scan (North,	$h = 0 \rightarrow 9$	N1C2N3	118.1 (2)	N3-B31-C31	110.1 (2)
Phillips & Mathews,	$k = 0 \rightarrow 24$	C4'-04'-C1'-N1	139.5 (2)	$C_{2} = N_{1} = C_{1}' = C_{2}'$	-737(3)
1968)	$l = -7 \rightarrow 7$	C1'-O4'-C4'-C3'	3.3 (3)	C6-N1-C1'-C2'	102.5 (3)
$T_{\rm min} = 0.84, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.99$	3 standard reflections	C2-N1-C1'-O4'	169.2 (2)	C1'-N1-C2-N3	172.5 (2)
2045 measured reflections	monitored every 150	C6—N1—C1'—O4'	-14.6 (3)	C6-N1-C2-N3	- 3.7 (3)
1119 independent reflections	reflections	C1' - N1 - C2 - O2	-4.8 (3)	C2-N1-C6-C5	1.5 (4)
1	intensity decay: <4%	$C_0 - N_1 - C_2 - O_2$	178.9(2)	C4—N3—C2—N1	3.1 (3)
	mensity decay. <470	C1 - N1 - C0 - C3 C4 - N3 - C2 - O2	-174.5(2) -179.6(2)	$B_{31} = N_{3} = C_{2} = N_{1}$	-1/4.5(2) -0.4(3)
Pafinamant		$B_{31} - N_{3} - C_{2} - O_{2}$	2.8(3)	$B_{1}N_{1}N_{1}C_{1}C_{1}C_{1}C_{1}C_{1}C_{1}C_{1}C$	-0.4(3) 1770(2)
Kejmemeni		C2-N3-C4-N4	179.9 (2)	C4—N3—B31—C31	-116.9(3)
Refinement on F	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$	B31N3C4N4	-2.8(4)	N1C1'-C2'-C3'	-150.7 (2)
R = 0.029	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.115 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	C2-N3-B31-C31	60.5 (3)	C1'-C2'-C3'-C4'	33.3 (3)
wR = 0.042	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.132 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$	04' - C1' - C2' - C3'	- 32.3 (3)	O3'-C3'-C4'-C5'	-145.2 (2)
S = 1.505	Extinction correction:	C1' - C2' - C3' - 03'	-83.6 (2)	C2' - C3' - C4' - C5'	96.0 (3)
1024 reflections	$E^* = E(1 + cL)^{-1}$	03 - 03 - 04 - 04	95.4 (2)	13 - 14 - 15 - 05	00.4 (3)
1024 Tenecuolis	$\Gamma_{c} = \Gamma_{c}(1 + g_{l_{c}})$	04' - 04' - 05' - 05'	-25.5(3) -1760(2)	103-04-03-00	-1.9(4)
175 parameters	Extinction coefficient:	C4' - 04' - C1' - C2'	18.3 (3)	N4-C4-C5-C6	177.8 (2)
n-atom parameters not	1.752×10^{-5}	C1'-04'-C4'-C5'	-118.6 (2)	N31-C31-B31-N3	139.6 (4)
refined	Atomic scattering factors	N402'	2 959 (4)	0503^{μ}	2 766 (4)
$w = 1/\sigma^2$	from MolEN (Fair, 1990)	C5···O2'	3.232(4)	C3+++N31 ⁸⁰	2.700 (4)
					2.000 (4)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\check{A}^2)

$$B_{\rm eq} = (8\pi^2/3)\sum_i\sum_j U_{ij}a_i^*a_j^*\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_j$$

	x	у	Z	Bea
O2	0.5312 (3)	0.84191 (9)	0.3081 (3)	3.71 (4)
O3′	1.0279 (3)	0.93380 (8)	0.5380(3)	3.55 (4)
04′	0.8693 (2)	0.96586(7)	0.1409 (3)	3.25 (4)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, 1 + z; (ii) $-\frac{1}{2} - x, -y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ y, 1 - z.

The structure was solved by direct methods and was refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques using MolEN (Fair, 1990). Although all H atoms were observed in difference Fourier maps, only the two hydroxyl H atoms in the molecule were located experimentally and the positions of all the other H atoms were calculated from an idealized geometry with standard bond lengths and angles.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BK1158). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands. North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst.
- A24, 351-359.
- Saenger, W. (1984). Principles of Nucleic Acid Structure, pp. 17-21. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Singh, P., Zottola, M., Ramsay Shaw, B. & Pedersen, L. G. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 693-696.
- Taylor, R. & Kennard, O. (1982). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 3209-3212.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 1825-1826

5,6,7,8,9,10-Hexahydro-10-hydroxy-5,9methano-4*H*-thieno[3,2-*c*]azonine

Jozef Kožíšek,^{a*} Dušan Berkeš,^b Ingrid Svoboda^c and Bernard Decroix^d

^aDepartment of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, Radlinského 9, Slovak Technical University, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovakia, ^bDepartment of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, Radlinského 9, Slovak Technical University, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovakia, ^c Fachbereich Materialwissenschaft, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, Petersenstrasse 20, D-642 87 Darmstadt, Germany, and ^dLaboratoire de Chimie, Université Le Havre, 30 Rue Gabriel Péri, 766 00 Le Havre, France. E-mail: kozisek@cvtstu.cvt.stuba.sk

(Received 4 October 1995; accepted 21 February 1996)

Abstract

The title molecule, $C_{11}H_{15}NOS$, consists of a sevenmembered azepine ring fused to both a five-membered thiophene ring and a six-membered piperidine ring so that a methylene bridge links positions 5 and 9. The thiophene ring is planar, while the azepine ring adopts a pseudo-chair conformation with a pseudo-equatorial hydroxy group at C10. The 1,3-fused piperidine ring also possesses a chair conformation.

Comment

The observation that bridged benzo-fused azocines were obtained in the course of the synthesis of novel non-competitive antagonists of N-methyl-D-aspartic acid (NMDA) (Blough, Mascarella, Rothman & Carroll, 1993) stimulated us to search for thieno analogues of this system together with its homologues (Berkeš &

© 1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Decroix, 1994; Berkeš, Bar & Decroix, 1995). The stereoselective reduction of 5,6,7,8,9,10-hexahydro-5,9-methano-4H-thieno[3,2-c]azonin-10-one, (I), with NaBH₄ in ethanol at 298 K led to the title compound (II) (Berkeš, Bar & Decroix, 1995). Only one diastereomer was isolated and its structure was inferred from exhaustive ¹H and ¹³C NMR studies.



The X-ray analysis was undertaken in order to distinguish between the diastereomer (II) and possible diastereomer (III), which differ in their relative configurations at the second newly formed asymmetric C10 centres. The other reason was to distinguish between the relative configurations R^*, R^* and R^*, S^* ; the R^*, R^* relative configuration is associated with the pseudochair conformation of the seven-membered ring found in structure (IIB), whereas a twisted conformation of the seven-membered ring occurs in the R^*, S^* structure (IIIA). The piperidine ring in all cases has a chair conformation. The results establish that diastereomer (II) is formed in preference to (III) and that the conformation is that of (IIB) in which the seven-membered azepine ring is in the chair form (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound, with displacement ellipsoids given at 50% probability and H atoms shown as spheres of arbitrary radii.