Secondary Structures of rRNAs from All Three Domains of Life

Anton S. Petrov^{1,2}*, Chad R. Bernier^{1,2}, Burak Gulen^{1,2}, Chris C. Waterbury^{1,2}, Eli Hershkovits^{1,2}, Chiaolong Hsiao^{1,2}, Stephen C. Harvey^{1,2}, Nicholas V. Hud^{1,2}, George E. Fox^{1,3}, Roger M. Wartell^{1,2}, Loren Dean Williams^{1,2}

1 Center for Ribosomal Origins and Evolution, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America, 2 School of Chemistry and Biochemistry Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America, 3 Department of Biology and Biochemistry, University of Houston, Houston, Texas, United States of America

Abstract

Accurate secondary structures are important for understanding ribosomes, which are extremely large and highly complex. Using 3D structures of ribosomes as input, we have revised and corrected traditional secondary (2°) structures of rRNAs. We identify helices by specific geometric and molecular interaction criteria, not by co-variation. The structural approach allows us to incorporate non-canonical base pairs on parity with Watson-Crick base pairs. The resulting rRNA 2° structures are up-to-date and consistent with three-dimensional structures, and are information-rich. These 2° structures are relatively simple to understand and are amenable to reproduction and modification by end-users. The 2° structures made available here broadly sample the phylogenetic tree and are mapped with a variety of data related to molecular interactions and geometry, phylogeny and evolution. We have generated 2° structures for both large subunit (LSU) 23S/28S and small subunit (SSU) 16S/18S rRNAs of *Escherichia coli, Thermus thermophilus, Haloarcula marismortui* (LSU rRNA only), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Drosophila melanogaster*, and *Homo sapiens*. We provide high-resolution editable versions of the 2° structures in several file formats. For the SSU rRNA, the 2° structures use an intuitive representation of the central pseudoknot where base triples are presented as pairs of base pairs. Both LSU and SSU secondary maps are available (http:// apollo.chemistry.gatech.edu/RiboVision).

Citation: Petrov AS, Bernier CR, Gulen B, Waterbury CC, Hershkovits E, et al. (2014) Secondary Structures of rRNAs from All Three Domains of Life. PLoS ONE 9(2): e88222. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088222

Editor: Danny Barash, Ben-Gurion University, Israel

Received October 22, 2013; Accepted January 3, 2014; Published February 5, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Petrov et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by the NASA Astrobiology Institute (NNA09DA78A). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: anton.petrov@biology.gatech.edu

Introduction

RNA secondary (2°) structures, with symbolic representations of base pairs, double-helices, loops, bulges, and single-strands, provide frameworks for understanding three-dimensional (3D) structure, folding and function of RNA, and for organizing, distilling, and illustrating a wide variety of information. Accurate and accessible 2° structures are particularly important for understanding ribosomes, which are extremely large and highly complex three-dimensional objects.

Co-variation approaches, using a rich sequence database as primary input, are powerful and widely-applicable for determining rRNA 2° structures in the absence of 3D information. Covariation methods produce very few false-positive base pairs [1]. However, 2° structures determined by co-variation have inherent limitations. Co-variation does not reliably reveal non-canonical base pairs, especially purine-purine base pairs. For example, Helix 26a of LSU rRNAs was not detected by co-variation methods and was not included in traditional 2° structures [1,2]. The rRNA comprising Helix 26a is represented by an extended single-strand in co-variation 2° structures. The omission of Helix 26a is significant because it is universally-conserved and thermodynamically stable [3,4], and is a core component that helps define domain architecture [5].

Here we focus on accurate re-determination of 2° structures, primarily of SSU rRNAs. We modify the traditional *E. coli* SSU 2° structure to incorporate non-canonical base pairs. In addition, we include all base pairing interactions of the central pseudoknot. And finally, for several eukaryotic species, we provide complete 2° structures of both subunits, including expansion segments. Covariation approaches are especially problematic for highly idiosyncratic RNA sequence regions such as expansion segments, because appropriate sets of alignable sequences may not be available or readily identifiable.

We have constructed 2° structures that minimize artificial fragmentation of rRNA. For historical reasons, 2° structures, especially those of larger rRNAs, are represented as fragments placed around the conserved core. Optimal 2° structures should as far as possible portray the true continuity of an rRNA strand. In practice, representation of rRNA as continuous strands can require re-organizing the traditional scheme of the common core and may not be desirable in all instances. The major differences between the co-variation and 3D based 2° structures are highlighted in Figure S1.



Figure 1. Schematic rRNA 2° structures of a) *E. coli* **LSU**, **b)** *E. coli* **SSU**, **c)** *S. cerevisiae* **LSU**, **and d)** *S. cerevisiae* **SSU**. These 2° structures are derived from 3D structures, and include non-canonical base pairs. The domain colors in the LSU are, Domain 0, orange; I, purple; II, blue; III, magenta; IV, yellow; V, pink; VI, green, 5.8S, brown, 5S, light green. The domain colors in the SSU are, 5', blue; C, brown; 3'M, pink; and 3'm green. Fully detailed 2° structures of rRNAs, including base pairs and additional information, from *E. coli, T. thermophilus, H. marismortui, S. cerevisiae, D. melanogaster*, and *H. sapiens* are available at http://apollo.chemistry.gatech.edu/RibosomeGallery. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088222.g001

The small but growing number of ribosomal 3D structures allows 2° structure determination by geometric analysis. Information from 3D structures can be used to determine accurate 2° structures, including non-canonical base-pairs and expansion segments. Thus, we have used geometric analysis of 3D structures of ribosomes to re-determine rRNA 2° structures. The resulting 3D based 2° structures, unlike co-variation 2° structures, contain all base pairs and helices observed in 3D structures.

We make available a series of 2° structures that broadly sample the phylogenetic tree, are up-to-date, and as far as possible, accurately represent strand continuity. We have incorporated noncanonical base pairs. We have mapped the 2° structures with a variety of data related to molecular interactions and geometry, phylogeny and evolution. We have partitioned the rRNA into helices and domains. These information-rich 2° structures are amenable to reproduction and modification by end-users. We provide high-resolution editable versions of the 2° structures in several file formats. The images are legible when printed on a single sheet of standard sized paper. Both LSU and SSU secondary maps are available (http://apollo.chemistry.gatech. edu/RibosomeGallery). Mapping of data onto 2° structures was performed on the RiboVision server (http://apollo.chemistry.gatech.edu/RiboVision) [10].

Our effort here is motivated in part by recent Cryo-EM structures of *D. melanogaster* and *H. sapiens* [6], which are extremely large, with highly complex secondary structures. In total, we have generated structure-based 2° structures for rRNAs of *E. coli* (Figures 1a & 1b), *T. thermophilus, H. marismortui* (LSU rRNA only), *S. cerevisiae* (Figures 1c & 1d), *D. melanogaster*, and *H. sapiens*. Previous *E. coli* [2,7] and *S. cerevisiae* [8,9] rRNA 2° structures, which lack the non-canonical central helix in the LSU rRNA (Helix 26a), and other non-canonical base pairs, have been presented. We previously described 2° structures of large subunit (LSU) rRNAs (23S/28S/5.8S/5S) of *E. coli*, *T. thermophilus, H. marismortui*, and *S. cerevisiae* [5].



Figure 2. Nested and non-nested rRNA helices. A 2° structure with four helical regions is shown in the top panel. A topology diagram, illustrating the nesting concept, is shown in the bottom panel. The green and yellow helices are nested within the red helix, with base pairs (i,q) (red) and (j,p) (yellow or green) where i < j < p < q. The blue helix is non-nested within the other helices, with base pairs (i',q') (red) and (j',p') (blue) where i' < j' < q' < p'. The red, green and yellow helices are commonly considered to be 2° structural helices. The blue helix is non-nested and is considered to be a tertiary helix. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088222.g002

Methods

Atomic coordinates were obtained from the PDB. Base-pairing and base-stacking interactions were obtained from the library of RNA interactions (FR3D) [11] and confirmed by inspection and in-house code. The co-variation *E. coli* secondary structures of LSU and SSU rRNAs were downloaded from http://rna.ucsc. edu/rnacenter/ribosome_images.html, adjusted and extended with the program XRNA (http://rna.ucsc.edu/rnacenter/xrna/ xrna.html), finalized with Adobe Illustrator, and written out as svg and png files. Secondary structures of all other species presented here were built from the *E. coli* template. We use historical representations as far as possible, except where conflicts arise with correct helical assignments or strand continuity.

E. coli 2° structures (Figure 1a & 1b) were determined from the x-ray structure of Cate [12] (PDB entries 3R8S, 4GD1, resolution 3.0 Å). *T. thermophilus* 2° structures were determined from the x-ray structure of Ramakrishnan [13] (PDB entries 2J00, 2J01, resolution 2.8 Å). *S. cerevisiae* 2° structures (Figure 1c & d) were determined from the x-ray structure of Yusupov [14] (PDB entries 3U5B, 3U5C, 3U5D, 3U5E, resolution 3 Å). *D. melanogaster* and *H. sapiens* 2° structures were determined from the cryo-EM structures of Beckmann [6] (PDB entries (3J38, 3J3C, 3J39, 3J3E for *D. melanogaster*, resolution 6 Å; PDB entries 3J3A, 3J3B, 3J3D, 3J3F, resolution 5 Å for *H. sapiens*).

Results and Discussion

rRNA 2° structures can be determined by a variety of methods including co-variation [7,15,16], thermodynamic predictions [17] and by geometric analysis of molecular interactions within 3D structures [5]. We have re-derived a series of rRNA 2° structures from 3D structures, with the goal of improving clarity, accuracy, and utility. The primary disadvantage of the structural approach remains the small number of ribosomes with well-determined 3D structures. However, the number of ribosomes with available 3D structures is ever increasing [6,8,18]. The current numbers of available 3D structures make the geometric method a viable method for systematic determination of rRNA 2° structures.

Helices are the defining elements of RNA 2° structure [19,20]. We identify helices by specific geometric and molecular interaction criteria [5]. In folded RNAs, a base is in one of two discrete states: paired or non-paired [21,22]. A paired base is involved in 2° interactions, tertiary interactions, or both. Following Levitt [23], we define helices as base-paired nucleotides bounded by non-paired nucleotides. With 3D information, one can incorporate stacking information, and so we define helices as base pairs in the form of a continuous base-paired stack that is faithful to strand connectivity. A helix can contain bulges or other defects as long as they do not break the helical stack. Secondary interactions are base pairing interactions other than those within helical



Figure 3. The 2° structure of the 16S rRNA of *E. coli*, **based on three-dimensional structures.** Regions where base-pairing interactions were modified relative to the co-variation 2° structure are highlighted in red. The inset shows the 2° and three-dimensional structures of the central pseudoknot (nucleotides 9–25 and 913–920). Nucleotides 9-13 are blue, nucleotides 14–19 are red, nucleotides 20–25 are green and nucleotides 913–920 are orange. The topology of the A915-U15-U20 triple is difficult to represent clearly in the 2° structure: A915 is base-paired with U15, which is base paired with U20 to form a base triple. This representation includes the sequence of the 16S rRNA and the helix and domain numbers. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088222.g003

regions. Each nucleotide belongs uniquely to no more than one helix. Non-canonical base pairs are not differentiated from canonical base pairs. Non-canonical base pairs that are internal to or that extend secondary helices are defined as secondary interactions.

The basic helical definition of secondary structure [19] has been extended to differentiate helices that are nested from those that are non-nested [24–26], as illustrated in Figure 2. A structure is nested if it contains pairs (i,q) and (j,p) where i < j < p < q are locations in the primary structure. Helices between expansion elements observed in some eukaryotes (as in the 18S rRNAs of *S. cerevisiae, D. melanogaster*, and *H. sapiens*) are among the longest non-nested helices. Non-nested helices (kissing loops and pseudoknots) are commonly categorized as tertiary interactions [27,28].

In our structure-based 2° structures, we followed the nest/nonnest definition of secondary and tertiary helices. Our approach extends and clarifies the definition of rRNA 2° structure to explicitly include *all* pairing interactions that confer thermodynamic stability to the folded RNA. The structural approach allows us to incorporate non-canonical base pairs on parity with Watson-Crick base pairs rather than by *post hoc* adjustment or symbolic notation.

For the central pseudoknot of the 16S rRNA [29], we treat helix 2 as a secondary element, even though it is non-nested, following the original Woese representation [15]. The central pseudoknot is conserved over all phylogeny [30] and is a key feature of the SSU that links all four domains. Central pseudoknot assembly appears to be a crucial, irreversible step of SSU maturation [31]. The covariation 2° structure of the central pseudoknot is incomplete. We modified the traditional 2° structure of the central pseudoknot to include all base-paring interactions revealed by 3D structures. The central pseudoknot contains conserved triplets of bases U12-G22-A912 and U13-U20-A914. In our revised 2° structure, these base triples are presented as pairs of base pairs (Figure 3). The advantage of this representation is that one can easily infer that it is a pseudoknot and can directly discern all the pairing interactions of the pseudoknot. The representation used here was formulated by Brakier-Gingras and coworkers [32] and by Gregory and Dahlberg [33] using information from 3D crystal structures. Westhof and Lescoute correctly represent the central pseudoknot in their information-rich wiring diagrams [34]. Gutell recently revised the historical 2° structure of the 16S rRNA to adjust the central pseudoknot and incorporate many of the non-canonical base pairs [35]. Unlike other pseduoknots in the rRNA, this representation can be integrated into the historical 2° scheme without major rearrangement. The 3D based 2° structure of the

References

- Gutell RR, Lee JC, Cannone JJ (2002) The accuracy of ribosomal RNA comparative structure models. Curr Opin Struct Biol 12: 301–310.
- Cannone JJ, Subramanian S, Schnare MN, Collett JR, D'Souza LM, et al. (2002) The comparative RNA web (CRW) site: an online database of comparative sequence and structure information for ribosomal, intron, and other RNAs. BMC Bioinformatics 3: 2.
- Leontis NB, Westhof E (1998) A common motif organizes the structure of multihelix loops in 16S and 23S ribosomal RNAs. Journal of Molecular Biology 283: 571–583.
- Serra MJ, Baird JD, Dale T, Fey BL, Retatagos K, et al. (2002) Effects of magnesium ions on the stabilization of RNA oligomers of defined structures. RNA 8: 307–323.
- Petrov AS, Bernier CR, Hershkovitz E, Xue Y, Waterbury CC, et al. (2013) Secondary Structure and Domain Architecture of the 23S rRNA. Nucleic Acids Research 41: 7522–7535.
- Anger AM, Armache JP, Berninghausen O, Habeck M, Subklewe M, et al. (2013) Structures of the human and Drosophila 80S ribosome. Nature 497: 80– 85.
- Noller HF, Kop J, Wheaton V, Brosius J, Gutell RR, et al. (1981) Secondary structure model for 23S ribosomal RNA. Nucleic Acids Res 9: 6167–6189.

16S rRNA of *E. coli* with all canonical secondary and tertiary Watson-Crick interactions is shown in Figure S2.

Conclusion

We have generated structure-based 2° structures for 23S/28S and 16S/18S rRNAs of *E. coli, T. thermophilus, S. cerevisiae, H. marismortui* (LSU only), *D. melanogaster*, and *H. sapiens*. We have mapped the 2° structures with a variety of data related to helices, domains, molecular interactions, phylogeny, and evolution. We provide high-resolution editable versions of all of these 2° structures (http://apollo.chemistry.gatech.edu/RibosomeGallery).

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Schematic 2° structures, based on 3D structures, of rRNAs of a) *S. cerevisiae* LSU, and b) *S. cerevisiae* SSU. Major differences between these 2° structures and co-variation based 2° structures are highlighted in red: i) Helix 26a is shown as a helix instead of a single stranded loop; ii) the central pseudoknot is corrected to include all non-canonical base pairs; iii) rRNA is represented as far as possible as continuous strands; and iv) the secondary structure of all eukaryotic expansion segments is shown explicitly. The domain colors in the LSU are, Domain 0, orange; I, purple; II, blue; III, magenta; IV, yellow; V, pink; VI, green, 5.8S, brown, 5S, light green. The domain colors in the SSU are, 5', blue; C, brown; 3'M, pink; and 3'm green. (TIF)

Figure S2 The 2° structure of the 16S rRNA of *E. coli*. Nucleotides connected by lines in the 2° structure here are canonical Watson-Crick base-pairs in the 3D structure of the ribosome. The domain colors in the SSU are, 5', blue; C, brown; 3'M, pink; and 3'm green. (TIF)

Acknowledgments

In memory of Prof. George R. Pack (1946-2013).

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ASP EH NVH GEF RMW LDW. Performed the experiments: ASP CRB CCW BG CH. Analyzed the data: ASP CRB CCW BG CH SCH GEF LDW. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: ASP CRB CH EH. Wrote the paper: ASP GEF LDW. Designed software for analysis: CRB ASP.

- Ben-Shem A, Jenner L, Yusupova G, Yusupov M (2010) Crystal structure of the eukaryotic ribosome. Science 330: 1203–1209.
- Xie Q, Wang Y, Lin J, Qin Y, Wang Y, et al. (2012) Potential key bases of ribosomal RNA to kingdom-specific spectra of antibiotic susceptibility and the possible archaeal origin of eukaryotes. PLoS One 7: e29468.
- Bernier C, Petrov AS, Waterbury C, Jett J, Li F, et al. (2014) RiboVision: Visualization and Analysis of Ribosomes. Discussions of the Faraday Society: in press.
- Sarver M, Zirbel CL, Stombaugh J, Mokdad A, Lcontis NB (2008) FR3D: finding local and composite recurrent structural motifs in RNA 3D structures. J Math Biol 56: 215–252.
- Dunkle JA, Wang LY, Feldman MB, Pulk A, Chen VB, et al. (2011) Structures of the Bacterial Ribosome in Classical and Hybrid States of tRNA Binding. Science 332: 981–984.
- Selmer M, Dunham CM, Murphy FV, Weixlbaumer A, Petry S, et al. (2006) Structure of the 70S ribosome complexed with mRNA and tRNA. Science 313: 1935–1942.
- Ben-Shem A, de Loubresse NG, Melnikov S, Jenner L, Yusupova G, et al. (2011) The Structure of the Eukaryotic Ribosome at 3.0 Å Resolution. Science 334: 1524–1529.

- Woese CR, Magrum LJ, Gupta R, Siegel RB, Stahl DA, et al. (1980) Secondary structure model for bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA: phylogenetic, enzymatic and chemical evidence. Nucleic Acids Res 8: 2275–2293.
- Fox GE, Woese CR (1975) 5S RNA secondary structure. Nature 256: 505–507.
 Zuker M (2003) Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization
- Zuker M (2005) Mold web server for indicate acta folding and hybridization prediction. Nucleic Acids Research 31: 3406–3415.
- Armache JP, Jarasch A, Anger AM, Villa E, Becker T, et al. (2010) Cryo-EM structure and rRNA model of a translating eukaryotic 80S ribosome at 5.5 Å resolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 19748–19753.
- Richards EG (1969) 5S RNA. An analysis of possible base pairing schemes. Eur J Biochem 10: 36–42.
- Butcher SE, Pyle AM (2011) The Molecular Interactions That Stabilize RNA Tertiary Structure: RNA Motifs, Patterns, and Networks. Accounts of Chemical Research 44: 1302–1311.
- Macke TJ, Ecker DJ, Gutell RR, Gautheret D, Case DA, et al. (2001) RNAMotif, an RNA secondary structure definition and search algorithm. Nucleic Acids Res 29: 4724–4735.
- Leontis NB, Stombaugh J, Westhof E (2002) The non-Watson-Crick base pairs and their associated isostericity matrices. Nucleic Acids Res 30: 3497–3531.
- Sim AY, Levitt M (2011) Clustering to identify RNA conformations constrained by secondary structure. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: 3590–3595.
- Rivas E, Eddy SR (2000) The language of RNA: a formal grammar that includes pseudoknots. Bioinformatics 16: 334–340.
- 25. Searls DB (1992) The Linguistics of DNA. American Scientist 80: 579–591.
- Waterman MS, Smith TF (1978) RNA SECONDARY STRUCTURE -COMPLETE MATHEMATICAL-ANALYSIS. Mathematical Biosciences 42: 257–266.

- Butcher SE, Pyle AM (2011) The molecular interactions that stabilize RNA tertiary structure: RNA motifs, patterns, and networks. Acc Chem Res 44: 1302–1311.
- Smit S, Rother K, Heringa J, Knight R (2008) From knotted to nested RNA structures: a variety of computational methods for pseudoknot removal. RNA 14: 410–416.
- Pleij CW, Rietveld K, Bosch L (1985) A new principle of RNA folding based on pseudoknotting. Nucleic Acids Res 13: 1717–1731.
- Gutell RR, Larsen N, Woese CR (1994) Lessons from an evolving rRNA: 16S and 23S rRNA structures from a comparative perspective. Microbiol Rev 58: 10–26.
- Segerstolpe A, Granneman S, Bjork P, de Lima Alves F, Rappsilber J, et al. (2013) Multiple RNA interactions position Mrd1 at the site of the small subunit pseudoknot within the 90S pre-ribosome. Nucleic Acids Res 41: 1178–1190.
- Belanger F, Theberge-Julien G, Cunningham PR, Brakier-Gingras L (2005) A functional relationship between helix 1 and the 900 tetraloop of 16S ribosomal RNA within the bacterial ribosome. RNA 11: 906–913.
- Gregory ST, Dahlberg AE (2009) Genetic and structural analysis of base substitutions in the central pseudoknot of *Thermus thermophilus* 16S ribosomal RNA. RNA 15: 215–223.
- Lescoute A, Westhof E (2006) The interaction networks of structured RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res 34: 6587–6604.
- Weijia X, Wongsa A, Jung L, Lei S, Cannone JJ, et al. (2011) RNA2DMap: A Visual Exploration Tool of the Information in RNA's Higher-Order Structure. Proceedings of 2011 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine. Atlanta, GA. pp. 613–617.